

E.CA Economics

It's electrifying! State aid and e-mobility

Paris, 24.11.2017

Public intervention in the energy transition: State aid

Vincent Verouden, Ph.D.

Electricity and e-mobility

"Cause the power you're supplying, ...



(cf. J. Travolta and O. Newton-John, 1978)

→ Electricity sector is pivotal for achieving EU climate targets

1. Electricity generation itself accounts for more than one-third of total GHG emissions in the EU
2. Sector has significant decarbonisation potential
 - Switch to renewable energy sources in production (& demand side measures)
 - Sector can facilitate the deployment of low-carbon technologies in other high emission sectors such as the transport sector → e-mobility

State aid (control) in the area of e-mobility

- Support for EV charging infrastructure
- Tax exemptions for the purchase of EV cars
- Other support measures (production capacity, R&D, ...)

State aid to EV charging infrastructure

- Illustrative case: Commission decision of 13.02.2017 in SA.46574 – Germany
- Interestingly, question of existence of state aid left open in this case
 - As the measure was deemed compatible in any event (different from SA.38769 (2015/N) – The Netherlands)
- Compatibility: assessed directly under the Treaty, on the basis of the “common principles” (balancing test):
 - Is the aid aimed at a well-defined objective of common interest?
 - Market failure identified: coordination failure (chicken-and-egg problem EV infrastructure vis-à-vis- EV cars)
 - Is the aid well designed?
 - Incentive effect/proportionality
 - Are the distortions of competition and the effect on trade sufficiently limited, so that the overall balance is positive?
 - Emphasis on the downstream market for recharging services. No mention of distortions vis-à-vis hydrogen (FCEV)

State aid in the form of tax exemptions for EV

- Illustrative case: EFTA Decision on State aid measures in favour of electric vehicles (Norway), 21.04.2015
- State aid assessment w.r.t. two groups
 - Direct beneficiaries: deemed non-selective
 - Indirect beneficiaries (manufacturers): deemed selective
- Compatibility: assessed on the basis of the balancing test:
 - Is the aid aimed at a well-defined objective of common interest?
 - Market failure identified: negative externalities/pollution
 - Is the aid well designed?
 - Are the distortions of competition and the effect on trade sufficiently limited, so that the overall balance is positive?
 - Distortions vis-a-vis conventional fuel vehicles: “inherent to the very objective of the measures”. (Makes sense).
 - No mention of distortions vis-à-vis hydrogen (FCEV)

State aid for new EV production capacity

- Possible in principle, but (only) within the framework of regional aid guidelines (RAG), i.e. when it is also in line with the EU cohesion objective
 - Location in disadvantaged areas
- Illustrative case: Commission Decision of 09.07.2014 in BMW Leipzig; General Court judgement in T-671/14 (2017)
 - In relation to BMW's EUR 392 million investment in production capacity for the new BMW i3, i8
- Compatibility: balancing test (RAG/IDAC)
 - Is the aid aimed at a well-defined objective of common interest?
 - Cohesion objective
 - Is the aid well designed?
 - Only EUR 17 million (out of EUR 49 million) was approved. The rest was not identifiable as necessary to compensate the firm for the relative cost disadvantage of choosing Leipzig
 - Are the distortions of competition and the effect on trade sufficiently limited?
 - Yes, for the proportional amount of the aid

Conclusion

- The future is renewable power and the electrification of the whole country!



*(paraphrasing
Lenin, 1920)*

P.S. But what about hydrogen?!

Thank you!

Vincent Verouden, PhD

Director
verouden@e-ca.com
+32 2 808-46 98



E.CA Economics

Berlin Office

Schlossplatz 1
10178 Berlin

Tel.: +49 30 212 31-7009
Fax: +49 30 212 31-7099

info@e-ca.com
www.e-ca.com

Brussels Office

Av. Louise / Louizalaan 222
1050 Brussels

Tel.: +32 2 808-4704
Fax: +32 2 808-4744